

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_87758
Title of the Manuscript:	An Analysis of Socio-personal profile of ATMA trainers in South Bihar
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajeba.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The topic of the research is practical and contemporary</p> <p>Abstract is adequate, needs some proofreading</p> <p>Introduction and background are brief, though clear. Needs to elaborate and add more relevant citations. However, State the objective of this research... State how is this paper divided!!!</p> <p>Methodology is adequate. Suggestions were made to add more clarity. See suggestions</p> <p>Discussion is adequate but needs editing and proofreading. In addition, needs validation of important findings with cited literature. Proofreading is needed. See suggestions [see details in corrected manuscript]</p> <p>Results are clear, concise and adequate to match the objective of the research.</p> <p>Conclusion and recommendations are adequate</p> <p>Must review carefully the references for consistency... and add suggested references as shown in the text!!!</p> <p>Proofreading is needed</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon