

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_87022
Title of the Manuscript:	Whether Human Beings can use Wormholes or Warp drive Propulsion to Achieve Faster-than-light Astronautic Travel
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Page 11, line 3, "It is a shame.....time and space."; pls. do not put adverse comments on the other author's work which is already published. Pls. revise sentence. 2. Please revise all reference numbers in the text and reference list given on page 29 to 32, as per the corrections suggested below 1 to 14.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Page 3, section 2, line 14, reference for "Einstein and N.Rosen" is missing. Pls. give reference. 2. Page 3, section 2, line 17, "In the 1950s.....et al." Incomplete sentence, pls. complete it. 3. Page 3, section 2, line 21. "Thorne ^[10]"; In reference section, author name is Thome K., pls. correct. 4. Page 3, section 2, line 25, Reference for "J. Wheeler's" is missing, pls. add reference. 5. Page 4, line 13, reference for "L. Ford" is missing, pls. add reference. 6. Page 4, line 19, reference for "Ford et al." is missing, pls. add reference. 7. Page 4, line 23, "Ford and Raman", pls. add reference no. 13. 8. Page 6, section 4. Alcubierre spacetime matric analysis, pls. correct as section 3. 9. Page 6, section 4, line 3, "....famous paper [5]...."; pls. correct reference style, change to superscript. 10. Page 10, section 5, line 6, pls. add reference for "Minkowski". 11. Page 11, section 6, line 2, pls. add reference for "P.Dirac". 12. Page 12, section A., line 7, reference for "R. Slusher's 1988" is missing. Pls. give reference. 13. Page 13. Section D, line 6, "The great physicist.....insistence."; Pls. give reference. 14. Page 27, line 21, "D.Brady et al....."; Pls. give reference.	
Optional/General comments	The author has presented scientific discussions that special relativity (SR) ban on faster-than-light motion is wrong. The author/s also argue that theoretical physicists and aviation and aerospace experts think differently about the same faster-than-light astronautic travel problem.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vipin Jain
Department, University & Country	CSIR-National Physical Laboratory, India