

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_86049
Title of the Manuscript:	THE USE OF WASTE MARBLE DUST AS PARTIAL REPLACEMENT FOR CEMENT IN CONCRETE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The INTRODUCTION part: despite the advantages of use waste materials in the production of concrete, it is recommended to add some explanations regarding the potential merits of using WMD as a partial replacement of cement, from the mechanical properties improvement aspect. 2. Please clarify the curing condition used for the specimens. 3. Provide the standard or codes for slump test used in this work. 4. Please try to make some explanations regarding the strength of mix proportion with 30% WMD lower than the 20%.	
Minor REVISION comments	This paper presents an interesting experimental research on using waste marble dust (WMD) as partial replacement for cement. Material strength of the concrete with the WMD replaced cement was provided and comprehensively discussed. To this reviewer, the manuscript may be accepted for publication in this journal with the above revisions.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shaohua He
Department, University & Country	Guangdong University of Technology, China