Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_85300
Title of the Manuscript:	Surveying for Natural Enemies of Tuta absoluta (Meyrick) in Selected Parts of Zambia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article is well constructed, intelligible and the results are original as a subject in the geographical location in which it took place. The only major problem that needs to be addressed is the control of the English language used. Control in English (UK) signals quite a few errors. I was only able to find on the journal's website the indication of English as the language of writing the article, not the variant: UK, US or India. That is why the publisher must indicate the English language version on the basis of which the corrections will be made. The rest is just an abbreviation that needs to be specified. Language control can be done with the text editor used (MS Office Word) or, for example, with the specialized software Grammarly, free or higher version.	The mornish residual of the mo
Minor REVISION comments	The article is not in the format of the magazine (font, spacing, etc.). The sections of the article and their order follow the format of the template. The abstract is written correctly and touches on the main elements required by the journal format in the template.	
Optional/General comments	It is suggested that in the Conclusions to be introduced some interesting continuations of the research whose results are presented in this article: quantitative analysis of the presence of populations of useful predators in tomato plateaus, behavior of predators useful for combating absolute T. after possible depletion of T. absolute, similar to parasitoids. One can also try a comparative study of the costs involved in biological control vs. chemical control. The effects of predatory populations on T. absoluta on tomatoes should also be studied. The reviewed article is part of a series of scientific papers aimed at identifying a pest of tomatoes and looking for natural means of control, as an alternative to chemical means of control, [1-4]. I have given this bibliography, [1-4], so that the authors can be inspired to achieve the highest possible quality of the work. The paper can be published after solving the (simple) problems required in the above sections. To increase the quality, you can also include photos from the five locations where the culture samples were taken, possibly inspired by the additional bibliography, [1-4] and others similar.	
	[1] Mansour R., Brevault T.& others, Occurrence, biology, natural enemies and management of <i>Tuta absoluta</i> in Africa, Entomologia Generalis, vol. 38(2018), Issue 2, 83-112 [2] Rwomushana I., Beale T. & others, Evidence Note Tomato leafminer (<i>Tuta absoluta</i>): Impacts and coping strategies for Africa, 2019 [3] Tarusikirwa V.L., Machekano H.&others, Tuta absoluta (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) on the "Offensive" in Africa: Prospects for Integrated Management Initiatives, Insects, 2020 [4] Mukwa L.F.T. & others, First report of the South American tomato pinworm <i>Tuta absoluta</i> (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) and its damage in the Democratic Republic of Congo, BioInvasion Records, 2021, vol. 10, Issue 1:33-44 and more.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cardei Petru
Department, University & Country	Romania

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)