

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_85195
Title of the Manuscript:	“Studies on the effect of foliar spray of micronutrients on physical parameters of guava (Psidium guajava L.) cv. Allahabad Safeada”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)																																				
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Try to include mention of 22 treatments and combinations in abstract also as briefly as possible. Then tell that T3 and T9 gave maximum yield.</p> <p>In 7th and 8th line of Materials and methods, “Nutrient application and other orchard management practices were followed as per recommended package and practices for guava” give reference here for these lines.</p> <p>Reframe second last and third last line of Introduction. Fruit size, sugar: acid. Increased yield in Zn.....after the fruit set, respectively.</p> <p>Keep age of guava trees same in abstract and Material Methods, either 10 years or 12 years.</p> <p>Give details of reference of Cochran and Cox (1992) in the reference section also. The author has included this under statistical analysis.</p> <p>Bal et al, 2004 should be changed to Bal and Dhaliwal, 2004 in Introduction paragraph one.</p>																																					
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Elaborate the abbreviation RTS in Introductio																																					
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Try to put experimental treatments and measurements in a tabulated form as mentioned below to get a quick review of treatment formulations used:</p> <table><tr><td></td><td>0.2%</td><td>0.3%</td><td>0.4%</td></tr><tr><td>ZnSO4</td><td>T1</td><td>T2</td><td>T3</td></tr><tr><td>CuSO4</td><td>T4</td><td>T5</td><td>T6</td></tr><tr><td>H3BO3</td><td>T7</td><td>T8</td><td>T9</td></tr><tr><td>ZnSO4 + CuSO4</td><td>T10</td><td>T11</td><td>T12</td></tr><tr><td>ZnSO4 + H3BO3</td><td>T13</td><td>T14</td><td>T15</td></tr><tr><td>CuSO4+ H3BO3</td><td>T16</td><td>T17</td><td>T18</td></tr><tr><td>ZnSO4+ CuSO4+ H3BO3</td><td>T19</td><td>T20</td><td>T21</td></tr><tr><td>Water Only T22</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table>		0.2%	0.3%	0.4%	ZnSO4	T1	T2	T3	CuSO4	T4	T5	T6	H3BO3	T7	T8	T9	ZnSO4 + CuSO4	T10	T11	T12	ZnSO4 + H3BO3	T13	T14	T15	CuSO4+ H3BO3	T16	T17	T18	ZnSO4+ CuSO4+ H3BO3	T19	T20	T21	Water Only T22				
	0.2%	0.3%	0.4%																																			
ZnSO4	T1	T2	T3																																			
CuSO4	T4	T5	T6																																			
H3BO3	T7	T8	T9																																			
ZnSO4 + CuSO4	T10	T11	T12																																			
ZnSO4 + H3BO3	T13	T14	T15																																			
CuSO4+ H3BO3	T16	T17	T18																																			
ZnSO4+ CuSO4+ H3BO3	T19	T20	T21																																			
Water Only T22																																						

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neena Kumar Dhiman
Department, University & Country	Gargi College, University of Delhi, India