

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_85060
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on decision-making of low-carbon and closed-loop supply chain recovery model considering government subsidies
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<div>1. The article -“Research on decision-making of low-carbon and closed-loop supply chain recovery model considering government subsidies“ meets the requirements of the journal;</div> <div>2. The title of the article corresponds to the content;</div> <div>3. The topic of the paper is relevant;</div> <div>4. The results of the obtained research are not in doubt;</div> <div>5. The research methodology is correctly selected;</div> <div>6. The findings are based entirely on the results of the study;</div> <div>7. The article has scientific value.</div>	
Minor REVISION comments	<div>The sources used in the text are indicated as follows: (1), (2), etc.</div> <div>Must be: [1], [2], [3]. . .</div>	
Optional/General comments	<div>Environmental protection and efficient use of resources is an important challenge</div> <div>and we consider it expedient to take into account the research results.</div>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Giuli Giguashvili
Department, University & Country	Gori State Teaching University, Georgia