

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_84737
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on Present Status and Recent Development of Colorimetric Pesticide Assay Test for Safe and Sustainable Agriculture with special reference to Clean Food Production
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Is this a paper including 16000 words? The abstract needs to be reconstructed completely. If it is a chapter; this type of subjects are very simple to understand no need to deep review. What sort of pesticide are you dissuading about? Figures and tables must be referred in the text. Once the appearance of abbreviated words in full details is urgent so recheck all. In this case lots of topics can be discussed. You can also discuss about toxicity in invivo/invitro ambient of pesticides. You can also discuss about indicators of toxicity assays. You can discuss about carcinogen pesticides. New development in generation and removal methods such as 3D printing, plasma technologies and nano compounds, nano materials, etc. You can also discuss about management practices. You can also expand knowledge towards green pesticides and lots of other topics.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Malek Hassanpour
Department, University & Country	Osmania University, India