

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_84693
Title of the Manuscript:	INSIGHTS ON UEP'S FOOD SELLING POLICY: LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FOOD CONSUMPTION PATTERN, HEALTH AND SAFETY, AND KNOWLEDGE ON THE BASIC FOOD GROUPS AMONG THE STUDENT IN THE UNIVERSITY OF EASTERN PHILIPPINES MAIN CAMPUS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The research is very well structured, reasoned and objective.</p> <p>The theme is related to the work.</p> <p>It is attractive, detailed and very important for your proposed script.</p> <p>It is consistent with the subject, detailed, specified, instructive and very well organized in topics.</p> <p>All the work was well developed, and very relevant.</p> <p>The data presented is organized and described correctly.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The reading and application of the obtained data are in order and easy to present.</p> <p>All the work was important and contributed a lot to the local communities.</p> <p>Regarding social parameters, they are relevant.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Check language and spelling errors</p> <p>Insert some recent references (2020 onwards)</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pedro Henrique Silva de Rossi
Department, University & Country	Brazil