

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_84502
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints analysis of Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana in Tumkur district of Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Abstract is not well written!</li><li>- Absence of facts &amp; references!</li><li>- Problem statement needs to be presented in acceptable manner</li><li>- Your research method is good but you need to present it in more details (e.g. how did you collect the data, how long take time and etc)</li><li>- Your results need to be supported with strong discussion!</li><li>- The final conclusion is not reached! What was presented in it are just recommendations, Therefore, authors need to be precise and informative in their final conclusion!</li><li>- List of references required to be checked!</li><li>- In the last page, the presented Figure, I suggest to remove it from the paper since the same contents are already written in Table 3!</li><li>- Replace Letters (I, II, III ..... ) with Numbers (1,2 3 ....) in the 3 presented Tables!</li></ul> English language needs some polishing!	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdelnaser Omran
Department, University & Country	Bright Star University, Libya