

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_83909
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical presentation and factors associated to COVID-19 disease in Mexican patients.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The subject of the article is interesting and in the situation we live in now it can be considered essential. As the focus of the study is the symptoms that may be related to Covid-19, variables such as sex and age must be considered so that the author has done a good job collecting all the data. In addition, the fact that the dataset was compiled by the General Directorate of Epidemiology and collected by physicians lends more precision and credibility to the results. While the information is vast, I find it a little confusing and a little overwhelming, so in this case the figures and tables are very important. Place the figures in the middle of the text and work on them explaining, it is easier to visualize than if the figures were at the bottom of the manuscript. A more complete legend is also needed for the figures, as it contains a large amount of information, and for the tables, it should be interesting to highlight the essential information from the others.	
Minor REVISION comments	In the same topic about information it might be interesting if the author summarized some of them, for example when the author lists all symptoms for adults 20-39 and 40-59 most of them are the same so don't put it twice, just say it once for both groups and then say what is different. This is the same case in the discussion when the author cited the study by Lan et al.	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript is very interesting, could be an extremely important source of information about Covid symptoms in the future, especially as it covers a large number of variables and could be valid for many populations.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stephane Tereza Queiroz de Andrade
Department, University & Country	University of São Paulo, Brazil