## **Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_83909
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical presentation and factors associated to COVID-19 disease in Mexican patients.
Type of the Article	Original

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# **Review Form 1.6**

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors
Compulsory REVISION comments		should write his/her feedback here)
Sompaisory INE VIOLENCE Comments	<ul> <li>Please select keywords based on Mesh.</li> <li>Please clearly state your purpose for conducting this study at the end of the introduction.</li> <li>Due to the differences between the strains at different times and the effect of different strains on the reported symptoms, please mention the interval of your study.</li> <li>I have some doubts about the type of study because the information was collected from</li> </ul>	
	the registered files, although the match was done in terms of age and gender, but I am	
	still worried about the type of study. I suggest that you provide more information about	
	your type of sampling to allay concerns about the accuracy of your choice of study type.	
	I suggest you state the formula for determining the sample size in each case and control	
	group.	
	<ul> <li>Are the percentages expressed in the Characteristics of study population section in the results section related to the cases or the control group? Or the whole population surveyed? Please state it clearly.</li> </ul>	
	■ In the case of vaccination, were two doses considered? Or did you consider the person	
	vaccinated with the first dose? Is there any information about this in your data? Vaccine	
	dose, distance from vaccination time to onset of symptoms, platform and type of vaccine?	
	<ul> <li>Due to the fact that in the results, except for heart disease, the other signs, symptoms</li> </ul>	
	and factors were higher in Covid 19 patients, this issue is not consistent with other	
	studies. In most heart disease studies, Covid 19 is known to be a risk factor. Where can	
	this observed difference be caused? Can age group differences be a factor?	
Minor REVISION comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# **Review Form 1.6**

Optional/General comments	

## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Mohebat Vali
Department, University & Country	Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Iran

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)