## **Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_77384
Title of the Manuscript:	Eisenia fetida Squirms Biased Bioremediation of Pyrene and Indeno(1, 2, 3-cd)Pyrene Soil and Petroleum Wastewater Contamination
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		,
	The Abstract begins with data on the raw levels of pollutants in the wastewater samples. A short introduction on the subject would be appropriate.	
	Material and Methods 2.5, please detail: "a clean plastic vessel with a suitable dimension"	
	The interest of using E. fetida for bioremediation of pyrene and its derivates from polluted habitats is very large, and there are numerous studies on this subject (even transcriptome analysis). However, none is mentioned in the References. There are no similar studies mentioned in Introduction and no corellations made in Discussions.  Since a scientific background on this subject already exists, it is relevant to make correlations with previous/similar studies.	
Minor REVISION comments		
	Major revision.	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# **Review Form 1.6**

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Ortansa Csutak
Department, University & Country	University of Bucharest, Romania

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)