

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_74277
Title of the Manuscript:	THE IMPACT OF INTERNAL CONTROLS ON REVENUE COLLECTION: The Case of Tanzania Official Seed Certification Institute (TOSCI) in Morogoro Municipality
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Though the author(s) review theories and empirical literature, they fail to indicate which theories underpin their research and why ? Also, they fail to specify how this manuscript differs from what has been done be others, and why this research should be published.</p> <p>Why was risk management excluded from the main regression?</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• In-text citation error; eg. (Kenney 2000) states that , generation. (Efozie 2010), (Adams, 2004) defined</li><li>• End of text must follow the required referencing style.</li><li>• The manuscript needs to go through proof reading, grammatical and structural error correction.</li></ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Richard Angelous Kotey
Department, University & Country	City of Westminster College, UK