

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_86525
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk Factors and In-hospital Outcome of Young Adults Presented with Acute Myocardial Infarction and Treated with Primary PCI
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Acronym AMI defined, but uses MI once after- Spelling/grammar check- In the abstract, STEMI should be defined when used first, not in the conclusion- “the mean ± SD of mean ± SD of LVESD” delete repeat mean +/- SD- “mean ± SD of mean ± SD of EF” same here- Some results are repeated in the discussion, can give the analysis without repeating the statistics (such as location of STEMIs and complications)- Any limitations to discuss in the discussion	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sonia Dalal
Department, University & Country	Johns Hopkins Hospital, USA