

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_84982
Title of the Manuscript:	Lipoprotein-associated Phospholipase A2 Activity Contributes to the Coronary Artery Disease with Metabolic Syndrome
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract- results should be more specific to outcome and should focus on the objective of the study. Please rewrite.</p> <p>Subject & Methods- There is not much socio demographic data except age and sex. Tables only shows a comparison of various clinical, aetiological And laboratory difference of Metabolic and non metabolic synd It does not explain the role of Lp PLA2 in aetiopathogenesis & Its role as an independent marker for CAD. Author has included many confounding factors in the study Table 5 mentioning Regression coefficient should be explained more.</p> <p>Discussion and Result- Overloaded with laboratory data which itself are a factor in Pathogenesis of CAD. Please focus your discussion on LpPLA2</p> <p>There is no mention of Odds ratio and hazards ratio in the study</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>I congratulate the author for choosing a relevant topic which is rarely discussed while managing patients with CAD.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saumya Sekhar Jenasamant
Department, University & Country	Sum Ultimate Medicare, India