

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_84982
Title of the Manuscript:	Lipoprotein-associated Phospholipase A2 Activity Contributes to the Coronary Artery Disease with Metabolic Syndrome
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Abstract spacing should one line spacing2. An abstract is supposed to start with an introduction of the research concept, not aim3. Citations should be clearly cited4. Presentation of results is quite very poor and must be improved and presents results in to real scientific tables	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Topic can be better presented to bring out a better perception of the study2. Abstract should has a brief overview of the introduction, objectives, methods --- down to conclusion but doesn't has to be segregated (no sub-title in abstract)3. Avoid using abbreviations, when mentioning a term for the first time4. Subject and method should be reframed to Methodology5. Sub-title should be sentence case6. All the listed references should be reframe following the same and accepted format and check out individual rules of referencing	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abba Umar Hassan
Department, University & Country	Nigerian Defence Academy, Nigeria