

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_84399
Title of the Manuscript:	Procedural and Clinical Outcomes in Management of Left Main Coronary Artery Bifurcational Lesions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<div>1. Do include how is the power of study calculated. How the number of 100 subjects set, and do explain the rationale behind the 70:30 distribution of subject since it seems it is a prospective design trial</div> <div>2. Since it is different characteristic of the lesion anatomy, the direct comparison between the author study with the DKCRUSH V is irrelevant as in DKCRUSH V it is the same lesion anatomy but randomized into two different strategies. Kindly correlate with the correct study.</div> <div>3. Kindly revise the conclusion, as the current study showed that probably those more complex lesions that require non-provisional strategy had higher MACE than those non-complex lesion that underwent provisional stenting as suggested in DEFINITION trial.</div>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<div>1. “All were between the ages of 6 and 12 (kindly clarify) and were eligible for the LM bifurcational intervention isional (two stents) group.” – kindly explain this sentence</div> <div>2. Kindly write in scientific style for the RESULT and DISCUSSION.</div> <div>3. Do change the “bifurcational” to bifurcation.</div>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Kim Heng Shee
Department, University & Country	Hospital Sultanah Aminah Johor Bahru, Malaysia