

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_80909
Title of the Manuscript:	Diastolic valvular regurgitation in patient with complete atrio-ventricular block
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The type of article being unspecified, assuming the fact that this would be a Case Study, if may I, the authors can adopt the following changes.</p> <p>1. The ABSTRACT content needs no change. Proper insertion of full stops at the end of the statement is recommended. Also, kindly capitalize the “h” of the below statement, since it denotes the beginning of the statement.</p> <p><i>here we report the observation of a patient admitted for a complete atrioventricular block, with reversible mitral and tricuspid regurgitation after implantation of a pace maker</i></p> <p>2. INTRODUCTION If the authors could provide more/detailed information regarding the Diastolic valve regurgitation, causes, and also does it result in any diastolic heart failure, results in diastolic and systolic murmurs and also how is this condition of the heart different from the rest, than it would be beneficial. The authors have mentioned the Objective of the Case Study, which is good.</p> <p>3. A LITERATURE SURVEY section would be preferable after Introduction as it would discuss about the existing work done on the similar topic, (besides from what is mentioned in the DISCUSSION section).</p> <p>4. CASE REPORT section needs no change.</p> <p>5. Let the FIGURES be categorized under the RESULTS section.</p> <p>6. DISCUSSION and CONCLUSION needs no change.</p> <p>7. CI DISCLAIMER is okay.</p> <p>8. REFERENCES need no change.</p>	-
Minor REVISION comments	-	-
Optional/General comments	Best Wishes to the authors for their future endeavors!	-

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Priyanka Mayapur
Department, University & Country	Agnel Institute of Technology and Design, India