

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Biotechnology Journal International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_84380
Title of the Manuscript:	A preliminary study on allelopathy and potential allelochemicals of root exudates from Solanum rostratum Dunal.
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalbjournal.com/index.php/BJI/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. To cite references as per the journals guidelines, please check entire citations. Several places (Introduction, paragraph 2 {<a href="#">Callaway,2000</a>; <a href="#">Ping YF,2012</a>; <a href="#">Liu C,2019</a>; <a href="#">Zhao XH,2004</a>; <a href="#">Tian XF,2017</a> and many more in the article) followed mismatch pattern in the manuscript.</li><li>2. Cite reference the protocol for water extraction and extracted with organic solvents if possible.</li><li>3. What are: a, ab, b in Figs. 1-6???</li><li>4. In the section Discussion, latest reference was cited- Geng 2009, that is about 12 years older. If possible please cite latest references to discuss more effectively for quality article.</li><li>5. Reference, Whalen, 1979b is missing; However in the list Whalen, M. D. 1979 cited extra.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Same as above	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Comments No. 2	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sushil Kumar Upadhyay
Department, University & Country	Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be University), India