

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_87783
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination and Correlation of pH and Electrical Conductivity of Assosa Agricultural Research Center Research Sites Soil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalasrj.com/index.php/ASRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments Materials & methods: Results & discussion: Conclusion: References:	The methodology employed is correct and the development of the experimental part was well performed. However, it fails because it does not report the number of replicates for each pH and conductivity reading. In addition, I consider the number of points used to calculate the correlation to be very low. As a consequence, he completely fails in the statistical evaluation of his results. Impaired evaluation. Impaired evaluation. Impaired evaluation.	
Minor REVISION comments	A spelling check is required.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alexandre Ricardo Pereira Schuler
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Brazil