Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Soil Research Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ASRJ_86100**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Quadruple cropping patterns in Bangladesh: Scope and limitations**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalasrj.com/index.php/ASRJ/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is well-written and explains the research clearly. Results presented in the present manuscript are interesting and it shows that farmers should be trained, educated and helped to make chemical analysis of the soil and apply fertilizers according to the deficiencies found in the soil, at least once every few years, if it is not possible every year due to analysis costs. Title and abstract clearly state the objective of this experiment. Conclusions are correct and summarize the results obtained in the experiment. Overall, a very good manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Apahidean Alexandru**
Department, University & Country	**University of Agricultural Science and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca, Romania**