Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_78189
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of the hydrodynamic parameters of two types of soil in the Senegal River delta. Simulation of hydro-saline transfers: application to the wind deflation phenomenon
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalasrj.com/index.php/ASRJ/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

Reviewer's comment		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should
		write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
	Several revisions are necessary before the publication. Please consider the following points;	
	 Figure 1 and 2; Please complete in English. Figure 3: The left side layer has no explanation pointer. Please re-check. Figure 5 and 6: The character font is too small to read. Figure 9 and 10: The figures are not clearly printed in my PDF. Please re-check the PDF file. Figure 11: The character is too small. Figure 11: Please explain about which soil is more salty by evaluating the SSP and SSM curve? Introduction, Line 1: You use "and and" P.1: Where is "Saint-Louis"? I cannot find in Fig.1. Table 1: Sensor number C1, C2C8 shows the location? Please indicate the definition of "C1, C2 C8" Sub Title 3.2: You indicate "THE RETENTION CURVE H()" What is H()? 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		
<u> </u>	The paper investigates the characteristics of shallow sail water table spreading in the Senegal River delta. The water table is divided into two types of saline-degradation soils. In the sample tests, the soil parameter for the both cases of SSM and SSP is demonstrated. The derived result is very unique and useful to the local agriculture. Several revisions are necessary before the publication. Please consider the following points;	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tetsuya Hiraishi
Department, University & Country	Kyoto University, Japan

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)