Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_78189
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of the hydrodynamic parameters of two types of soil in the Senegal River delta. Simulation of hydro-saline transfers: application to the wind deflation phenomenon
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalasrj.com/index.php/ASRJ/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The introduction could be a bit more detailed Figure 3, correct the direction of the red arrow The author should use past tense rather than present tense; For instance, in Section 2.5 the author used "samples are" instead of "samples were" Instead of using "us" or "we" the author should use this study (see section 3.3)	
Minor REVISION comments	Consider formatting the paper especially spacing between paragraphs	
Optional/General comments	The paper is adequate in content and scientifically sound	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Philip Kimanzi Mwendwa
Department, University & Country	Kabarak University, Kenya

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)