

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_85552
Title of the Manuscript:	SELECTION OF LACTIC ACID BACTERIA ISOLATED FROM COCOA AND CASSAVA FERMENTATION AS POTENTIAL PROBIOTIC FOR PATHOGENIC MICROORGANISMS CONTROL IN POULTRY FARMING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	English language corrections are required. In the introduction it is important to inform that probiotic are live microorganisms. I suggest removing the ellipsis in the third to last paragraph. Absence of citation of some references in the methodology, as well as presenting the brands of reagents or equipment used. In figure 1, it is stated that <i>L. plantarum</i> was used, based on what? Because until the time of this analysis, it was only known that they were lactic acid bacteria. Replace commas with dots in numeric results. And standardize the number of decimal places.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	What would be the MRS buffer medium indicated in the methodology? Write what is APEC. Genus/species are not written in italics. Greater care is needed in text formatting.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Perhaps, it would be pertinent to indicate at the beginning of the results the strains that were identified, before presenting the data, so that the reader understands each of the analyses.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mariana Nougalli Roselino
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Bahia, Brazil