

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_84971
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth Performance of Albino Rats Orally Administered Honey from Apis mellifera adansonii and Refined Sugar at Varying Levels
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The study is interesting as it is supporting the use of alternate sweetener honey instead of refined white sugar2. Some of the corrections as mentioned in comment box in manuscript itself may be done.3. As the experiment has been carried out on rats, animal ethics committee number should be mentioned4. Results may be supported with photographs of animals during feeding	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajesh Kumar
Department, University & Country	Himachal Pradesh University, India