

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARRB_84202</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>BUILDING PROCESS OF POTATO VIRUS Y MANAGEMENT ON TOBACCO PLANTS IN THE NORTHERN VIETNAM</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This work can be published after a review of format and writtting.</p> <p>Please:</p> <p>Clearly state, on the Introduction, that the aim of your paper is a review on management of PVY virus.</p> <p>1. review references numbers (for instance, on the text, the first one is [22]) and format (<b>Latorra, 1984</b> is, in fact, [15], but it will change after revision, and so on). MOrevoer, find refs [8]-[10]-[13]-[15]-[16]-[17]-[18] on the text.</p> <p>2. Explain acronyms (for instance TMV + CMV, they were described only in page 3!)</p> <p>3. "Cytosinpeptidemycin <b>và</b> Salicylic acid" – meaning of <b>và</b>?</p> <p>4. "The object of this study was to evaluate the effective control", page 3 – please clarify it was not your objective but ref. [6] (for instance, the term "<b>Our conclusions</b>" implies it is not only your paper but also this one)</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>"spreading quickly on the tobacco field and <b>reduce causing significant</b>" - spreading quickly on the tobacco field and <b>causing</b> ?</p> <p>"Use special agents follow the <b>4 correct rule</b>" – which are they?</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p><b>as sources as inoculum</b> ?</p> <p>Distroy composton infective – misspelling</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Lúcia Pereira da Silva
Department, University & Country	University of São Paulo.Brazil