Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Annual Research & Review in Biology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARRB_84101**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Prevalence of Vibrio species in Sea foods and water sources in Cross River State**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The English need improvement since there are some grammatical and syntax errors in the manuscript. For example, the word "foodborne" maybe as "a foodborne"; "microbiological" as "the microbiological"; "data analyzed" as "data was analyzed"; "Least" as "the Least"; "the both" as "both"; "a severe" as "severe"; "microbiological" as "the microbiological"; "gut removed" as "gut was removed"; "sample were" as "sample was or samples were"; "enrichment" as "an enrichment"; "pure" as "a pure"; "pending on" as "pending"; "an atmosphere" as "in an atmosphere"; "added in the" as "added to the"; "results read" as "results were read"; "mini-API" as "a mini-API"; "Same was" as "The same was"; "the in the" as "in the"; "feed from" as "feed on"; "from CRS" as "from the CRS"; "there have" as "there has"; "in distribution" as "in the distribution"; "temperature" as "a temperature"; "the overcrowding" as "overcrowding"; "to source" as "to the source". The grammar mistakes which are not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly. 2. There are some typing mistakes as well, and authors are advised to carefully proof-read the text. For example, the words "Sea foods" maybe as "Seafoods"; "waterbodies" as "water bodies"; "two way" as "two-way"; "apples nail" as "apple nail"; "sea water" as "seawater"; "Key words" as "Keywords"; "These gives" as "This gives"; "vegetable from" as "vegetables from"; "over populated" as "overpopulated or over-populated"; "ware" as "were"; "multiple comparison" as "multiple comparisons"; "Cray fish" as "Crayfish"; "cross sectional" as "cross-sectional"; "wash offs" as "wash off"; "vibrio species" as "Vibrio species"; "fisher men" as "fishermen"; "new born" as "newborn"; "theses" as "these"; "some off" as "some of"; "wastes is" as "waste is". The typos not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly. 3. In discussion, few scientific evidences is not supported with proper references, hence the same may be included. And also, the authors are encouraged to include weaknesses or limitations of the study and potential future research goals.	
Minor REVISION comments	4. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, CRS, etc.,). And it should be in both abstract as well as in the remaining part of the manuscript. Make a word abbreviated in the article that is repeated at least three times in the text, not all words need to be abbreviated. And also the abbreviations used in the keywords should be changed. 5. The full form of the species should be given when the first time appears and followed by only the first letter of the genus in both the abstract and the remaining part of the manuscript (For example, *Vibrio cholera* / *Vibrio vulnificus* when the first time appears and followed by *V. cholera* / *V. vulnificus* etc.). 6. The protocol used in the present investigation may be supported with proper references and the same should be added in the reference section.	
Optional/General comments	The authors have put effort to evaluate the "Prevalence of Vibrio species in Sea foods	

	and water sources in Cross River State". The authors describe the research undertaken with this in an organized manner, emphasizing the results obtained by them. The article needs modification for better cohesion of information to achieve the goal and the shortcomings which need to be considered. Hence, the paper can be accepted after MINOR REVISIONS are carried out.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**A. Vijaya Anand**
Department, University & Country	**Bharathiar University, India**