

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_83584
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening out the early matured ‘Boro Rice’ genotype for Bangladesh condition based on their physi-chemical characteristics and yields
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<div>1. The method was not stated in detail in the abstract section.</div> <div>2. The introduction section should be more focused on the previous works done on this topic.</div> <div>3. The discussion section does not provide a more profound explanation regarding the data obtained or the relationship between parameters.</div> <div>4. The conclusion section was explained too long</div>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	---	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	---	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lusi Herawati Suryaningrum
Department, University & Country	Research Institute for Freshwater Aquaculture & Fisheries Extension (RIFAFE), Indonesia