

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_83352
Title of the Manuscript:	In-Vitro Susceptibility Profile of Pseudomonas aeruginosa to Selected Medicinal Plants Obtained from University of Calabar Farm, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrru.com/index.php/AJRRU/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Use the statistical analysis for the zone of inhibition of different plants to be more conclusive and determine if they are significantly different against the bacterium.</li></ul>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Introduction is insufficient no information why they used <i>P. aeruginosa</i> instead of other bacteria.</li><li>Check the writings of plants scientific names</li><li>Protocols for the different biochemical tests employed for the presumptive identification of the isolates were not mentioned, or they can add the source at least.</li><li>Separate table for plants organs (stem, leaves, and roots) antibacterial effects against <i>P. aeruginosa</i>. (If not, all parts were used specify in the table the part/s that was used)</li></ul>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Result and Discussion will be revised if the statistical analysis zone of inhibition results is different.</li></ul>	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Krystel Grace V. Padilla
Department, University & Country	Nueva Ecija University of Science and Technology, Philippines