## **Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_77411
Title of the Manuscript:	Aromatic plants used by phytotherapists in the communes of Glazoué, Ouesse and Savè in Benin
Type of the Article	

## **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and		
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)		
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments				
Minor REVISION comments				
Optional/General comments				
	-Language well and good.			
	-Materials and methods up to-date.			
	-References well cited			
	-Results presented in two tablesIdentified my health benefits of locally available plants.			
	-Provided ecology, distribution and conservation status of these plants.			
	-The ethnobotanical survey revealed the existence of numerous aromatic and medicinal			
	plants used by traditional therapists who have medicinal and magico-spiritual knowledge and know well the use of plants as alicaments.			
	-The study made it possible to constitute a data base on the main aromatic species used			
	by the phytotherapists of the hills in general and the communes of Glazoué, Savè and			
	Ouesse in particular.  -A total of 23 species of aromatic plants were identified to treat 20 different symptoms of			
	illness.			
	-Suggested that the data collected can be used for the promotion of aromatic and medicinal			
	plants with a view to discovering new active ingredients for use in therapeutics, cosmetics,			
	perfumery and others for future studies.  -On the whole the MS is found to be very significant accepted for publication			
	2			

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# **Review Form 1.6**

# PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Mohammad Manjur Shah	
Department, University & Country	Yusuf Maitama Sule University, Nigeria	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)