## **Review Form 1.6**

| Journal Name:            | Annual Research & Review in Biology   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_ARRB_76537   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Perception and practices of covid-19 in rural areas of south eastern Nigeria: a preliminary study |
| Type of the Article      |   |

## **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

|                              | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write |
|------------------------------|---|--|
|                              |   | his/her feedback here)   |
| Compulsory REVISION comments |   | ,  |
|                              | The study mainly represents the perception and practice of COVID-19 in rural areas of   |  |
|                              | south eastern Nigeria which is good. Adequate knowledge and preventive practices  |  |
|                              | regarding COVID-19 can only control the rapid transmission of this deadly diseases. But there are several things which might be adjusted:         |  |
|                              | Point 1: Abstract:  |  |
|                              | The abstract didn't contain introduction section. Please add Introduction Section here.   |  |
|                              | Why didn't you indicate the p-value? Please add corresponding p-value for each item.  Point 2: Introduction:                                      |  |
|                              | There is nothing about hypothesis. What is the hypothesis in your research? There are many latest publications on the topic. Please follow those. |  |
|                              | Point 3: Materials and Methods:   |  |
|                              | What sampling technique did you use? There is nothing about Cronbach's Alpha for  |  |
|                              | the accuracy of the data.   |  |
|                              | Point 4: Results: What is the significance of your result? Please explain clearly. Graphical Presentation   |  |
|                              | might be good for better visualization.   |  |
|                              | Point 5: Conclusion:  |  |
|                              | Conclusion is not associated with abstract, please make the conclusion more suitable  |  |
|                              | for extracting the key points and adding to the abstract content  |  |
| Minor REVISION comments      |   |  |
|                              | Point 1: The references are not used correctly. Its present the error in the citation.  |  |
|                              |   |  |
| Optional/General comments    |   |  |
|                              |   |  |
|                              |   |  |
|                              |   |  |

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# **Review Form 1.6**

# PART 2:

|  |   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

## **Reviewer Details:**

| Name:                            | Md. Selim Mondol                    |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Department, University & Country | Begum Rokeya University, Bangladesh |

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)