

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_75205
Title of the Manuscript:	HEALTH RESOURCE OF THE CAUCASUS: KOUMISS
Type of the Article	review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors must perform a more careful revision of recent literature on the subject (example: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?term=KOUM%C4%B0SS&sort=date&size=200) The health benefits listed must appear in an order that makes since. For example: First the intolerances, secondly the diseases/disorders (in alphabetic order) and lastly innate system stimulation Application regarding cancer treatment must be further consolidated	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	English grammar and spelling must be carefully revised Scientific names must all appear in italic References must be carefully formatted	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Teresa Semedo-Lemsaddek</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Lisbon, Portugal</i>