

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_70211
Title of the Manuscript:	Serum hepato-renal parameters of Nigerian solid waste scavengers- a pilot study Short title: Effect of solid waste on liver & kidney
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>"The aim of the study is to determine..." in the abstract section should be written as "The aim of the study was to determine...". Similarly, "the study is designed to..." in the Introduction section should be "the study was designed to..." This is because the manuscript is reporting a work that has already been done.</p> <p>The statement "29 solid waste scavengers and 30 subjects which served as control were used for the study" under introduction in the abstract section should be moved to the materials and methods in the abstract section, since it is a description of methods.</p> <p>The entire manuscript should be grammar checked and all grammatical errors should be corrected.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The manuscript will be suitable for publication after effecting the minor corrections as stated above.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes. All ethical issues were addressed.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Titilayo O. Johnson
Department, University & Country	University of Jos, Nigeria