



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_57678
Title of the Manuscript:	Algae, A Hope For Sustainable Living
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This ms is based on a set of review data which have been collected with large effort to show how important algae for sustainable living. However, it is not written well, the sentences are long and punctuation marks such as (. , ;) are either used incorrectly or missing in many places. After these concerns are addressed the ms can be accepted to be published. Corrections were made on the text in red color. The authors should follow the instructions for authors when citing the references both in the text and in the "References" section	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The concerns above should be addressed before this current ms can move forward to publishable form.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	İlknur AK
Department, University & Country	Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Turkey