Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Annual Research & Review in Biology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARRB_77298**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Post-dipping in milk production, composition and quality**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The present study is interesting and is part of the research axis of microbiology and food safety, which highlights the impact of post-dipping practices on the production, chemical composition, and quality of milk as a measure to prevent any industrial loss in production, processing, or impairment of the hygienic quality of milk.	
Minor REVISION comments	The revision has been carried out with corrections marked in red (here attached the manuscript). - The manuscript is clear, well structured, and methodical. - The discussion part is sufficient, but for the material and method part, it is necessary to specify the number of samples analyzed, I'm confused, since each time, the authors mention a different number (305, 244, 61) throughout the text -For the table 3, please show the units of the analysed parameters. - Normally, the milk from healthy cows at first lactation contains up to $100x10^3$ cells mL-1, up to $200x10^3$ cells mL-1 in subsequent lactations and if these exceed $250x10^3$ cells mL-1, there is already an indication that an infection as taking place in the udder. For this, please explain why a load of 115,056 cells/ml, can make significant losses of production (table 1)? - Guidelines requirements were respected by authors Good luck	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Elhassan Benyagoub***
Department, University & Country	***University of Bechar, Algeria***