

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_87883
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring Concrete Teaching Strategies Through Mathematics Laboratory Method to Enhance learner Achievements in Zambian Secondary Schools
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<div>1. All null hypothesis should be written after your theoretical framework or before your methodology.</div> <div>2. Simplify your results and discussion. Remove your null hypothesis and alternative hypothesis in the discussion since the null hypothesis were already discussed in the preceding pages.</div>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ivy H. Casupanan
Department, University & Country	President Ramon Magsaysay State University, Philippines