

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Mathematics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_86474
Title of the Manuscript:	REDUCTION OF DEFECT RATE IN BISCUIT PRODUCTION USING STATISTICAL QUALITY CONTROL PROCESS
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	1. The introduction is pretty good, it's just a matter of adding up the average defects and the percentage of defects allowed 2. DATA ANALYSIS AND METHODOLOGY: each fishbone diagram is given an explanation of the results 3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION: add an explanation of results from Statistical Quality Control Process 4. CONCLUSION: Give the underlying reasons that the biggest reason factor caused by workers who they are less focused or less skilled.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Abstract add problems and research results	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Information from chapter to chapter is still not clearly connected	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rini Alfatiyah
Department, University & Country	Pamulang University, Indonesia