

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_83725
Title of the Manuscript:	SUICIDAL IDEATION AND ASSOCIATED FACTORS AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN IN SOUTHWESTERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Thanks for giving opportunity to revise this important topic but I have some comments as follows: Abstract: background statement should be added The aim of the study should be the same as the title Paraphrasing and editing for more clarity of the content is highly recommended No recommendations in the abstract as well at the end of the manuscript. In addition to the aim of the study questions and the secondary objective is also needed As regards the selected design it should be descriptive correlational Tools need more elaboration did the author use which version? From where did he/she got the consent to use the tools?? Why did the author conducted the study with those populations (significance of the study) The data collection procedure is completely absent !!! Does ethical consideration need more elaboration on how the author ensures the participant's rights? Statistical analysis needs to be elaborated on the type of tests used in the analysis Results: in demographic characteristics why does the author include single women?? It is better to separate the results from the discussion for more clarity The level of depression and the scoring of its severity is not mentioned in the study Discussion is fine No recommendation as well no research implications?? Do the old References need updating? only starting from 2017 – to 2022	
Minor REVISION comments	Paraphrasing and editing	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amal Ibrahim Khalil
Department, University & Country	Menoufyia University, Egypt