

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_88431
Title of the Manuscript:	Word Order in Turituran of Batak Toba Language
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>-The whole paper suffers from grammar, punctuation, and structure mistakes. It needs to be proofread first and edited to address mistakes.</b> <b>-The paper needs to explain all the acronyms</b> <b>-the paper lacks consistency in tense, space, and spelling.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	-References and citations are wrong. They should be corrected according to the format of the journal. Intext citations do not need footnotes, and the footnotes are not adequate. -Spaces and commas should be consistent -The paper includes around 400 grammar and structure mistakes. Therefore, the researcher can either use the editorial services of the journal or consult a native speaker for editing language and grammar mistakes. Some are suggested in the annotated feedback.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The article is original, and it presents an interesting study. Still, it needs professional proofreading to correct major mistakes, as explained in the above notes and the annotated feedback.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hajar Mahfoodh
Department, University & Country	University of Bahrain, Bahrain