

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_86383
Title of the Manuscript:	INVESTIGATION OF MATHEMATICS SELF-REPORT LEVELS OF SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN TERMS OF VARIOUS VARIABLES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author would need to clearly state in the abstract the comparative analysis done. Literature review is necessary for one to know the contribution to the body of knowledge and justify the discussion of findings. The actual contribution needs to be clear from the problem statement.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Clear hypotheses. need be stated with the ANOVA. Proper paragraphs should be done together with the alignment from the topic.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	doing the chi-square non parametric tests would make the study more rich in findings.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kakooza Victoria
Department, University & Country	Kyambogo University, Uganda