

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_85966
Title of the Manuscript:	An Investigation on post-harvest losses of lemon farmers in Moulvibazar district, Bangladesh: Aspects of Determinants, Practices, and Problems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Very lengthy, many things stated in this chapter, which are similar to discussion section; write up lacked clarity, brevity or both.2. Some literature/review not relevant/redundant and similar to discussion3. The background information not available on the age group and gender of lemon farmers how they influence PH lost and why age and gender are variable in this particular study.4. Emphasize given on horticultural crops particularly fruits and vegetables, quality and quantity including apple, banana, avocado, citrus papaya and so on, but nothing is said about lemon, what kinds of lemon are grown in study areas,hi5. The overall write-up not enough good to convey the meaning clearly. <p>Method section</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Demography of the family should include earning person and head of the family with marital status. Widow and distressed women are now getting involved in farming2. Problem face index (PFI) statistically not sound. The reference in this favor (Khatun et al. 2014) not true <p>Results and Discussion</p> <p>Age of the farmers increases his experience and intern reduce losses. In that sense age of the farmers considered as one of the independent variables influencing the post-harvest losses at the farm level. A negative association between age and dependent variables not hypothesized in the study. As stated in the method section, respondent selection was entirely done by the researcher irrespective of age and gender. A man or a woman could not answer questionnaire if they wanted. There is no description of involvement of all respondents in lemon cultivation. Rather education is an important independent variable, which have negative influence in PH losses. Bangladesh is a male dominated society and man (husband) is the head of the family, workable unemployed member (woman, young and old) help him in farming.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md. Nazirul Islam
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute , Bangladesh