

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_85920
Title of the Manuscript:	MURAL PAINTING IN KHÃI TƯỜNG PAVILION – AN ĐỊNH PALACE – AN AESTHETIC HIDDEN CODE OF EMPEROR KHÃI ĐỊNH, HUẾ CITY, VIETNAM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>After reviewing the research sent by your magazine about the research entitled (The mural in the Khoi Tong Pavilion, a hidden aesthetic symbol of Emperor Khoi in Vietnam). Or I would like to make some notes about the research.</p> <p>1- The research topic is formulated as follows (visual and invisible aesthetic values in the murals of Hoi Tong Palace in Vietnam) 2- The researcher fulfilled the theoretical aspect of the research well by reviewing the title of the research, the objective and the research results. 3- The researcher relied well on the source. 4- The scientific article covered the research methodology. 5- The artworks that the researcher touched are in good agreement with the research. I wish your magazine progress and prosperity in the process of supporting and covering scientific research Instructor: Ali Khudair Muhammad Al-Raziqi. Professor of Art History at Babylon University, College of Fine Arts</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Khudair Muhammad Al-Raziqi
Department, University & Country	Babylon University, Iraq