

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_85104
Title of the Manuscript:	Reported profiles of women who have sex with women in Dar-es-Salaam, Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<div>1. The topic is research-worthy, pertinent and apposite, especially since it addresses health and the general well-being of a population often stigmatized in the society.</div> <div>2. Since the work has some empirical implication, so research question(s) or hypothesis or both should be included in the work and they would usually be in consonance with the aims of the study as already spelt out.</div> <div>3. Apart from drawing results from opinion/interviews/comments of participants/respondents, there would be more extensive acceptance if some of your results are culled from analysis carried out with inferential statistics</div> <div>4. I suggest that the population involved in the study be provided numerically, that is, the estimated population of Ilala, Kinondoni and Temeke districts?</div> <div>5. How many women and other respondents partook in Focus Group Discussions (FGDs), in-depth interviews (IDIs) and key informant interviews (KIIs) used in the methodology?</div> <div>6. Finally, if you review your literature again and carefully draw on your theoretical approach, this work would be a pointer to understanding Homosexuality in Tanzania and most especially provide a framework for the reading of women and sexuality studies in the country.</div>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Susan Olubukola Badeji
Department, University & Country	Redeemer’s University, Nigeria