

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_85104
Title of the Manuscript:	Reported profiles of women who have sex with women in Dar-es-Salaam, Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>-Introductory section needs more evidence (references) -Considering the short introduction, a state of the art literature review placing the topic into context is important.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Methodology section -Justify the use of the snowball technique and its importance to the current study (can reference previous research using this technique) -Justify the reason for the inclusion of community leaders (were they seen as key informant? If yes, what role or experience did they add to the study?. Also justify the use of the purposive sampling and its relevance (can reference previous research using this technique) -What was the reason for the set criteria; age 18, and residence in.....? (give reasons for this). -Recording of participants, did you seek their consent? If yes, indicate this in the part that say "FGDs, klls, IDI and life stories were audio-recorded (.....) -Indicate the total number of participants included in the study. E.g., WSW (n = ?), community leaders (n = ?), FGD (n = ?), Klls (n = ?), IDIs (n = ?), and justify how this sample is sufficient. (Since this is purely qualitative study and involves interviews, small sample size is acceptable, but justify this). (E.g., the study was interested in in depth and rich experiences of the participants.....) -Detail clearly how the study ensure anonymity and the non-disclosure of personal traits of the participants.</p> <p>Results section Age I suggest you put it in this form.... A total of 17 WSW participated in the study. Of these, majority (9) were between the ages of 20-29 years, followed by (6) between the ages of 30-30 years, while lowest (2) between 40-50 years.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ankrah Johnson
Department, University & Country	University of Porto, Portugal