Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_84432
Title of the Manuscript:	ARE WE AT THEIR MERCY? A PERCEPTUAL STUDY OF JOURNALISTS ON THE ETHICAL IMPLICATIONS OF CITIZEN JOURNALISM
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The paper is generally well written and structured. Strongly suggested to: 1. Provide up-to-date definition of journalism, citizen journalism and new media. 2. Explain in depth on the Democratic-Participant Media theory. 3. Provide in depth analysis in the discussion. The discussion lacks of connection between the concepts of journalism and citizen journalism with the proposed theory. 4. Cite more relevant and recent literatures.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maarika Veigel
Department, University & Country	Tallinn University, Estonia

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)