Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Research in Surgery**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRS_87635**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A prospective comparative Clinical Trial of Onlay Versus Sublay Mesh Repair for Treatment of Ventral Hernia**
Type of the Article	**Study Protocol**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**We fully appreciate the effort made by colleagues to accomplish this research. The topic itself is of great importance. Having professed my general enthusiasm for the topic and its importance, I have some concerns that I feel are tractable but require substantive effort. Our ambition is for the research formula to be in keeping with the pure scientific method, so we wanted to include a set of notes that we see as necessary to prepare the manuscript in a more sedate way that achieves the purpose of academic publishing.** **My comments here are concerned solely with the re organization of the manuscript. Consideration of these points will, I believe, lead to an improved report that better illustrates the key concepts and conclusions.** **I hope the authors will prepare the study according to scientific data simultaneously with the developments and recent advances dealing with these cases and prepare the results section according to the real feasibility of the statistical data using the precise statistical programs accordingly. The introduction needs to a relevant and theory based with sufficient information about the previous study findings should be presented for readers to follow the present study rationale and procedures.** **However, to make the motivation clearer and to differentiate the paper some more from other applied papers, the author may wish to provide another and several references to substantiate the claim made in a discussion part.** **I hope the authors will prepare the study according to scientific data simultaneously with the developments and recent advances dealing with these cases and prepare the results section according to the real feasibility of the statistical data using the precise statistical programs accordingly.** **The English editing would probably need to be revised using more re organization of the manuscript format (the manuscript needs to be edited for grammar and syntax).** **If the authors are able to clarify the few limitations, add a helpful conceptual model, and revise the results and the discussion sections to clarify the interpretation and application of this manuscript for the journal audience, this should make a nice addition to the literature.** **Please pay attention, review the attached comments (yellow coloured icons in the main text), and rewrite the article accordingly as possible.**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Mohammed Joudi Aboud**
Department, University & Country	**The Maternity and Child Teaching Hospital, Iraq**