

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_83209
Title of the Manuscript:	Does Smartphone Gaming have a positive effect on the acquisition of Laparoscopic Skills?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:  
(<https://www.journalajrru.com/index.php/AJRRU/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>I was pleased to review your manuscript “Does Smartphone Gaming have a positive effect on the acquisition of Laparoscopic Skills? “. <b>The topic is interesting.</b></p> <p>However, the manuscript should be further improved. In order to improve the manuscript <b>a have the following comments.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The idea is very interesting.</li><li>2. Please explain the novelty of your case.</li><li>3. Knowing this results please explain how you can improve residents laparoscopic skills?</li><li>4. Discussion: in this section you needs to compare your case with the international literature. Please do not repeat the same information in the results and discussion section.</li><li><b>5. Other points:</b></li></ol> <p>- Punctuations reviews are necessary;</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

**Review Form 1.6**

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nicolae Gica
Department, University & Country	Carol Davila University, Romania