Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_82938
Title of the Manuscript:	Successful laparoscopic management of hydroperitoneum- a rare complication of retrograde intra renal surgery (RIRS).
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajrru.com/index.php/AJRRU/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		The record of th
	Overall opinion - this article is well-written, concise and clear.	
	-Introduction- What is unique about this case? What does it add to the medical literature?	
	-Specify the cause of this complication? Ureteral rupture? Rupture of calyces?	
	And what was the cause? Prolonged operation? High air pressure used? Etc	
	-Important follow-up diagnostic and other test results.	
	-Discussion of the strengths and limitations in your approach to this case.	
	-Conclusion—What are the main "take-away" lessons from this case?	
Minor REVISION comments		
	Please provide the keywords based on MeSH terms. (http://www.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/MBrowser.html)	
	2) The keywords should be sorted alphabetically.	
	3) Medical, family, and psychosocial history including relevant genetic information.	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Morteza Fallah-karkan
Department, University & Country	Iran

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)