Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRROP_83119**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A Comparative Study and Review of Siddha Ophthalmology from the Classical Siddha Literature Agatthiar Nayana Vidhi-500**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajrrop.com/index.php/AJRROP/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript reviewed the Siddha Ophthalmology from the Classical Siddha Literature *Agatthiar Nayana Vidhi-500*. Overall, data in this paper were reasonable. Thus, the manuscript brings some valuable findings and it could be published in the journal. Still, there are some points need to be addressed: 1. Please highlight the significance of this review. **2.** In **1.2.1** and **1.2.2**, "medicines like *Neer, kattu, kalangu, urukku, chunnam, karpam, satthu*, and *Guru-kuligai" "tharppanam, thaarai, kalikkam, mai or anjanam, ennai muzhukku" "*ghee medicines like *karisalai nei, ponnankanni nei, nellikkai ilagam, injithenn".* Does the herbs mentioned above have standard Latin or international names? 3. In **1.3.1~1.3.3**, please provide the exact Latin name and sources of these herbs and animals. 4. Why only literature data from January 2020 to December 2021 were collected? Is the time too short and the data fully collected? 5. In **3.3**, are the data collection channels authoritative and representative? 6. More **Discussion** should be allow in this review.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Chengwang Tian**
Department, University & Country	**Tianjin Institute of Pharmaceutical Research, China**