

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Nephrology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRN_85429
Title of the Manuscript:	Microalbuminuria and its clinical correlates in individuals with Sickle cell trait: A comparative study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRN/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Part 1</p> <p>In the summary he removes the subtitles study design and place and duration of study without removing what is written in front of his subtitles which will save a line. In keywords it removes SCA and SCT. Both are in SCD.</p> <p>In the introduction he must respect the order, the world, Europe then Africa and finally Nigerian. Put the first two sentences in this order.</p> <p>the methodology should be read by a biologist to be sure about type of machine and type of biological procedure.</p> <p>In the methodology can he talk about logistic regression without doing the odds ratio calculations?</p> <p>In the discussion he does not speak succinctly of the limits of his work.</p> <p>The drafting of references is done according to vancouver or harvard?</p> <p>Part 2 and 3 nothing to report.,</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gandzali Ngabe Pierre Eric
Department, University & Country	Marien Ngouabi University Congo, Congo